


Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/644,488	Applicant(s) GREENWOOD ET AL.
	Examiner Katherine W. Mitchell	Art Unit 3677
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>being allowed</u>		
(1) <u>Katherine W. Mitchell</u> .		(3) _____.
(2) <u>Bob Morris</u> .		(4) _____.
Date of Interview: <u>2 August 2006</u>		Time: _____
Type of Interview: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic <input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference <input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative)		
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: no		
Claims discussed: 1,22		
Prior art documents discussed: Shimata		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. <input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)		
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Since independent claims 1 and 22 are in condition for allowance, examiner called to see if applicant wants claims dependent on claim 1 to be added as dependent on claim 22, as examiner noted with appreciation the professionalism and assistance, including providing relevant references, that applicant has displayed throughout the prosecution.

Applicant and examiner agreed to add dependent claims to claim 22 as attached. .